Case 3:07-cr-01046-L $\,$ Document 99 $\,$ Filed 06/28/10 $\,$ PageID.280 $\,$ Page 1 of 2 $\,$ 2010 JUN 28 PM 12: 23 1 CLERK US DISTRICT CONTRACTION 2 3 DEPUTY 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 Criminal Case No. 07-CR-1046-L 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. AMENDED ORDER OF CONTINUED 11 Plaintiff. COMMITMENT FOR ASSESSMENT OF **DEFENDANT'S DANGEROUSNESS** 12 ٧. PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 4246 13 PEDRO GODINEZ-ORTIZ, a/k/a Pedro Godinez-Martinez, 14 Defendant. 15 16 17 On May 7, 2010, the Court entered an order directing that "Defendant continue to be committed 18 to the custody of the Attorney General, or his authorized representative at FMC-Butner or other facility 19 as determined by the Attorney General, for a period not to exceed 45 days, so that the Bureau of Prisons 20 may immediately perform an assessment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4246 to determine whether Defendant 21 is presently suffering from a mental disease or defect as a result of which his release would create a 22 substantial risk of bodily injury to another person or serious damage to the property of another." [Dkt. 23 No. 94.] 24 On June 22, 2010, Defendant filed a Motion for Immediate Release. [Dkt. No. 96.] Defendant's 25 motion was heard on June 24, 2010. [Dkt. No. 98.] Defendant's motion was denied. 26 On June 25, 2010, the Court received a letter from the Warden of FMC-Butner, advising that 27 Defendant was admitted to the facility on June 21, 2010, and that staff are conducting interviews and 28 testing of Defendant necessary to complete his evaluation. The letter requested that the 45-day period

begin on the date that Defendant was admitted to the facility, June 21, 2010, such period to end on

2

1

3

4 5

6 7

9

8

11

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

August 4, 2010. The letter further requested an additional 14 days to complete the final report of evaluation.

The Court finds that Defendant's initial period of commitment for purposes of evaluation shall be deemed to begin on the date Defendant arrived at FMC-Butner, June 21, 2010. See, e.g., United States v. Cruz-Martinez, 436 F. Supp. 2d 1157, 1163 (S.D. Cal. 2006) (ordering "that the facility director at FMC Butner shall have sixty days from the date defendant arrives at FMC Butner to determine whether to file a dangerousness evaluation") (emphasis added). However, such initial period shall last no more than 30 days from June 21, 2010.

In the alternative, if the initial period of commitment is deemed to begin on the date of this Court's Order of May 7, 2010, the Court finds that a 45-day initial period is appropriate. Such 45-day initial period expiring on June 21, 2010, the Court grants a 30-day extension of that period. Good cause has been shown, at the June 24, 2010 hearing and in the Warden's letter referenced herein, that such extension is necessary to observe and evaluate Defendant.

The Court further finds that such continued commitment is necessary for an appropriate evaluation of dangerousness, Defendant having previously sustained a conviction for manslaughter; that such commitment is necessary to protect the public; and that such commitment comports with due process. Accordingly:

IT IS ORDERED that Defendant Pedro Godinez-Ortiz, a/k/a Pedro Godinez-Martinez (Register No. 03445-298), continue to be committed to the custody of the Attorney General, or his authorized representative at FMC-Butner or other facility as determined by the Attorney General; and that the director of such facility shall determine no later than July 21, 2010 whether to submit a certificate pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4247(b), unless ordered otherwise by this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Honorable M. James Lorenz United States District Judge